

Best Available C	Сору		UNITED STAT Patent and T Address: COMMI	TES ARTM raden -k Offic SSIONER OF PATEN	<b>*</b>	F COMIN	111 1
			Washin	ngton, D.C. 20231		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	<u> </u>	TRST NAMED APPLICANT		NEY 000		#
08/435.544	05/05/95	KNOWLTO	IN.	E	'	KNOW-1	
		15M2	/1016	Ð	AMNER		∄∥
PAUL DAVIS	CINI COORDI	ou a poo	AT !	HUL	NA.	þ	1
650 PAGE MI	ISINI, GOODRII LL ROAD	ch & KUS	HII	ART UNIT	PAI	ER NUMBER	$\Pi$
PALO ALTO C	:A 94304			150	1	7	
				DATE MAILED:		10/16	<b>//</b> Þ6
This is a communication from the COMMISSIONER OF PATENT		our application.					
	OFFIC	E ACTION	SUMMARY				
Responsive to communication			-				
This action is FINAL.	(3) med on						
Since this application is in cor	edition for allowance a	voont for form	ol mattem, present	ution on to the m			
accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle	, 1935 D.C. 1	ai matters, <b>prosec</b> 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ution as to the m	ents is	ciosea in	
A shortened statutory period for n	esponse to this action	is set to expire		month(	s) or thi	ny days,	
whichever is longer, from the mai the application to become abando	oned. (35 U.S.C. § 13	unication. Fa 3). Extension:	llure to respond wi s of time may be of	ithin the period for btained under the	respons provisio	e will cause is of 37 C	FR.
1.136(a).	·				1		
Disposition of Claims  Claim(s)/38					;		
Of the above, claim(s)	1-22			is/are	pending	in the app	dication
					diawn f	rom consid	teration
□ Claim(s)	}			·	is	are allow	ed
						zare reject	1111
Claim(s)						e objected	· 5 (6 ) 11
Claims	<u> </u>	:	are	subject to restrict	on or el	ection requ	dinemer.
Application Papers						:	
☐ See the attached Notice of							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on					8.	_	
☐ The proposed drawing corre				is ∐ a	, h	∐ disa	pprove
The specification is objected.			,				
☐ The oath or declaration is o Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ojected to by the Exan	niner.					
					1		
Acknowledgement is made of						] ;	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None ☐ received.	of the CERTIFIED.	copies of the p	priority documents	have been	i		
	o /Sorios Codo/Soriol	Normh a a					
received in Application No					igi.		
*Certified copies not received:					1		
Acknowledgement is made of				-	1	<del>                                     </del>	†
Attachment(s)	- Jamin Ioi dolliesiic p	monty under 3	U.J.U. 9 119(8	ŋ.			
☐ Notice of Reference Cited,	PTO-892						
☐ Information Disclosure State		Panor No(a)			Î	<u> </u>	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-4		ары но(5)	<del></del> ,		į		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pa		PTO-040					
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Ap		10-946			4		
— House of informal Patent Ap	phicacon, 710-152					1 '	111

PTOL-326 (Rev. 10/95)

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

-2-

Serial Number: 08/435,544

Art Unit: 1501

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as not providing support for the invention as is now claimed.

There is no support for the language "without creating a necrosis of melanocytes in the skin". On page 7, line 5 of the instant specification it is disclosed that "The methods of the present invention do not provide for a total necrosis of cells." This implies that some of the cells are killed. Thus there is no support for language which excludes any necrosis.

There is also no support for the language "not exceeding 80 degrees C", "not exceeding 75 degrees C" or " not exceeding 70 degrees C". There is support for the range of 30-80 degrees C, 30-75 degrees C, and 30-70 degrees C on page 12, lines 3-6.

- 2. Claims 23-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Serial Number: 08/435,544

Art Unit: 1501

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

4. Claims 23-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Fellner in view of Storm, III (Storm).

The claims are rejected for the reasons of record as stated in the Office action mailed 4-3-96.

5. Applicant's arguments filed 7-29-96 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant argues that the present invention differs from that of Fellner and Storm in that the present invention does not create cell necrosis. This does not appear to be the case from reading the specification. At page 7, line 10 it is disclosed that there is a partial denaturization of the collagen and that all of the fat cells with the loculations are not killed. Thus it appears that some of the cells are destroyed. It appears that the melanocytes are not killed. This is what Storm teaches. Therefore, the rejection is that it would have been obvious to use the electrode structure of Storm in the process of Fellner to protect the surface layer of skin (melanocytes) from destruction.

-4-

Serial Number: 08/435,544

Art Unit: 1501

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy Hulina whose telephone number is (703) 308-2974.

Amý Húlina Primary Éxaminer Group 1500

AH October 14, 1996